UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,198	08/22/2000	Tamotsu Ito	16869P-011900US	1115
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			EXAMINER	
			BROWN, RUEBEN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/644,198	ITO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	REUBEN M. BROWN	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ar</u>	oril 2008					
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 35-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 35-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
· · · <u> </u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims regarding May have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 & 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi, (U.S. Pat # 6,483,983), in view of Kobayashi, (U.S. Pat # 6,938,215) and Aotake, (U.S. Pat # 5,732,067).

Considering amended claims 1 & 36, Takahashi teaches an apparatus (Fig. 1) that enables a user to access a plurality of programs. The amended claimed features of the content including a plurality of titles that includes a plurality of chapters, each chapter including a plurality of

frames, the titles and chapters include moving pictures, is met by the disclosure of Takahashi (Fig. 3; col. 5, lines 21-40; col. 6, lines 20-40; col. 9, lines 31-40).

Page 3

'driver module configured to access the content and having a driver output to produce an information signal for the accessed content' and 'a decoder module operatively coupled to the driver module to receive information signal', reads on the driver unit 1 and decoder unit 3, (Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 62-67 thru col. 4, lines 1-37).

'user input module configured to receive user input with at least a select button and/or cursor button', reads on the user interface 11, col. 4, lines 56-67.

The amended claimed, 'system control module configured to control, the driver and decoder modules to produce as first display for a plurality of titles, each titles being represented by single frames in the first display signal, at least the single frames for the plurality of programs are configured to be displayed on a display module as a title selection screen', Takahashi shows in Fig. 3 that a plurality of titles are available for selection by the user (as tag 18). The claimed title selection screen, is broad enough to read on the titles (1-3) being displayed on Fig. 3.

As for the additionally claimed feature, 'wherein the single frames are selected from any part of the moving picture', Takahashi does not go into such a detail. Nevertheless Kobayashi, which is in the same field of endeavor, (i.e., enabling a user to interactively select an item from among a plurality of items), teaches that a plurality of programs may be displayed on the screen

Art Unit: 2623

as thumbnails, col. 5, lines 35-65. In particular, in a Thumbnail Image Browser screen, the thumbnail images 53 represent files (names) that may be stored on one or more recording devices, col. 1, lines 50-62; col. 6, lines 4-10. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Takahashi with the feature of utilizing an image to represent a title or file name, for the advantage of allowing the user to confirm the content of the file, as taught by Kobayashi, col. 5, lines 58-61.

'wherein the system control module, in response to receiving a user-specified title selection from the select button is configured to control the decoder module to produce a second display signal from the plurality of chapters, each of the chapters being represented by a single frame, at least the single frames for the plurality of chapters are configured to be displayed on a display module as a chapter selection screen', also is broad enough to read on the disclosure of Takahashi, (Fig. 3; col. 5, lines 21-49). Takahashi teaches that the chapters are represented by the still images (frames) shown in boxes 19a-19i.

'wherein the system control module, in response to receiving a user specified title selection from the cursor button, is configured to control the decoder module to play back automatically user-specified title as a small frame if there is no button input for a select period of time', Takahashi, (col. 7, lines 65-67 thru col. 8, lines 1-2; col. 11, lines 25-67; col. 12, lines 32-63), discloses that after a user selects a chapter with the cursor button 27, that the associated moving picture may be displayed for a duration of time, col. 7, lines 25-37. However, Takahashi does not explicitly discuss a delay time when waiting for another user input before starting the

Art Unit: 2623

moving picture. Nevertheless, Aotake, which is also in the same field of endeavor of interactive selection, teaches that the system may at least alternatively, interpret another playback operation (i.e., play) when the CPU detects that there has not been any user input from the remote controller 9, after a lapse of a preset time period, see col. 5, lines 40-63. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Takahashi with the feature of waiting for a predetermined period of time before beginning the playback of the title, at least for the benefit of checking to see if the user desired to consider the choice before watching the selected program, which reduces the amount of manual interaction required by the user.

As for the further claimed feature, 'wherein the automatic playback start position of the user-specified title is part of the moving picture indicated by the single frame', Takahashi teaches that moving pictures is played from the start picture of the chapter, col. 6, lines 34-51; col. 7, lines 25-50.

As for the further amended claimed feature, that the system stops playback of the title after the playback ends, Takahashi does not discuss the details of how the playback ends. Nevertheless, Official Notice is taken that at the time the invention was made, it was well know in the art to operate a playback device so that the instant playback device stops play, after a movie that it was playing ends. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Takahashi with the feature of stopping a playback

Art Unit: 2623

device when a recorded medium finishes playing, at least for the benefit avoiding frustrating the user by repeatedly presenting a movie that had just been seen.

Regarding claim 36, the clamed elements of an apparatus for accessing content on a storage medium that correspond with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 1, is likewise treated. Furthermore, claim 36 recites 'program', which corresponds with the claimed 'title' of claim 1. Also claim 36 recites, 'plurality of scenes', which likewise corresponds with claimed chapters of claim 1.

Considering claims 35 & 37, Official Notice is taken that at the time the invention was made, 'skipping' and 'fast forward playback', similar to a VCR, was old in the art. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Takahashi with the feature of fast forward or skipping, at least for benefit of allowing the user to go to the section of the movie that he/she most desires to view, at a particular time.

Application/Control Number: 09/644,198 Page 7

Art Unit: 2623

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/644,198 Page 8

Art Unit: 2623

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7290 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Reuben M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-7290. The examiner can normally

be reached on M-F(8:30-6:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Christopher Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and After

Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Annan Q Shang/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2623